Relationship Between the Preferential Adsorption Coefficient and Polymer Molecular Dimensions in Mixed Solvents

I. Katime and B. Amo

Departamento de Química Física, Grupo de Propiedades Termodinámicas de Macromoléculas en Disolución, Universidad de Bilbao, Apartado 644, Bilbao, España

SUMMARY

In this paper a relationship between the preferential adsorption coefficient and polymer molecular dimensions is proposed

$$\lambda \frac{\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2}}{M} = \lambda_{\infty} \frac{\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2}}{M} + A(\frac{\langle s_0^2 \rangle}{M})^{3/2}$$

This relationship is found to be valid for all polymers in good theta solvents and for any molecular weight.

INTRODUCTION

In two recent papers (KATIME et al.1979 and KATIME,AMO) we described a new plot of preferential adsorption coefficient as a function of intrinsic viscosity which gives a straight line and enables to find λ_{∞} and A. In this paper another equation is given relating in a simple way the preferential adsorption coefficient, λ , to the mean square radius of gyration, $\langle s^2 \rangle$, and the molecular weight M and allows to calculate λ_{∞} and A with precision, independently of the thermodynamical conditions, in which the system is found. The results obtained are compared with the ones calculated with Dondos-Benoit's equation (DONDOS, BENOIT 1970).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Poly(methyl methacrylate) was obtained by anionic poly-

merization in THF solution initiated with butyllithium (KATIME,RAMIRO VERA 1972). The polymer was reprecipitated from benzene solution with methanol. The fractions were obtained by gradual precipitation of the polymer from the benzene solution with isopropanol.

Molecular weight determinations by both light scattering and osometry on several fractions showed that

$$\overline{M}_{w}/\overline{M}_{n} < 1.3.$$

Dioxane was a chemically pure commercial product, redistilled on a 42 plate laboratory column prior to use: its purity was checked chromatographically.

Solvent mixtures of dioxane/methanol were made up by volume. The refractive indices of the solvents and of the binary solvent mixtures were measured with an Abbé refractometer at 298 K.

Molecular weight distributions have been evaluated by GPC.

The intensity of scattered light was measured at 298 K with a PGD FICA apparatus over the angular range 30-150°. We used an unpolarized primary beam with a wavelength of 546 nm. Reduced scattered intensities for zero concentrations and zero angle were obtained by the Zimm method (ZIMM 1948). Before measurements the solutions were freed from dust by centrifugation for 2 hrs in a Sorvell preparative ultracentrifuge.

A Brice-Phoenix differential refractometer Model BP-2000V equipped with a special glass cell R 101 was used for measurements of refractive increments at constant solvent composition. All measurements were made using green mercury line (546 nm). Aqueous solutions of sodium chloride

384

at 298 K were used for the calibration of the differential refractometer (KRUISS 1936).

Table 1 shows the preferential adsorption coefficient, λ , and mean square radius of gyration, <s²>, as a function of molecular weight, M, and composition of the binary mixture, u₂.

DISCUSSION

According to Dondos and Benoit

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\infty} + \frac{A}{M^{1/2} \alpha^{3}}.$$
 (1)

TABLE 1

Preferential adsorption coefficient, λ , and mean square radius of gyration, $\langle s^2 \rangle$, of PMMA in the binary mixture dioxane(1)/methanol(2).

| λ ml/g | | | | | $(s^2).10^{11} \text{ cm}^2$ | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| м.10 ⁻⁶ | 20. | 30. | 40. | 50. | 20. | 30. | 40. | 50. | |
| 0.435 | - | 0.102 | 0.132 | - | _ | 0.90 | 0.78 | - | |
| 0.616 | -0.011 | - | - | 0.129 | 1.47 | - ' | - | 0.82 | |
| 1.12 | -0.005 | 0.097 | 0.131 | 0.106 | 3.08 | 2.92 | 2.74 | 2.50 | |
| 2.03 | -0.001 | 0.093 | 0.127 | 0.103 | 6.94 | 6.86 | 6.05 | 4.72 | |
| 2.40 | -0.0 | 0.093 | 0.127 | 0.103 | 8.46 | 7.11 | 6.72 | 5.04 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

where λ_{∞} is the limiting value of the preferential adsorption coefficient, A a constant and α^3 the linear expansion coefficient of the polymer. Assuming $\alpha^3 = (\langle s^2 \rangle / \langle s^2 \rangle \rangle)^{3/2}$ in Equation (1), we obtain

$$\lambda \left(\frac{\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2}}{M} \right) = \lambda_{\infty} \left(\frac{\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2}}{M} \right) + A \left(\frac{\langle s_0^2 \rangle}{M} \right)^{3/2}$$
(2)

where $\langle s^2 \rangle$ and $\langle s_0^2 \rangle$ are, the perturbed and unperturbed mean square radius of gyration of the polymer. Evidently, a plot of $\lambda (\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2} / M)$ versus $\langle s^2 \rangle^{3/2} / M$ should give a straight line, according to the above theoretical relation, being the slope λ_{\perp} and the intercept equal to $A(\langle s_0^2 \rangle / M)^{3/2}$. The obtained result using the equation (2) for PMMA(3)/dioxane(1)/methanol(2) system at several compositions of the binary mixture can be seen in Figure 1. We notice that, for all cases the experimental points fall indeed on a straight line, confirming the above relation. This confirms that the preferential adsorption parameter, the molecular weight and the dimensions of the macromolecule are closely related. This fact was expected because several papers on ternary systems have proved that the intramolecular

parameter K_{0} depends on the composition of the binary mixture (DONDOS, BENOIT 1968, 1977 and KATIME et al. 1975). On the other hand, Equation (2) assumes neither an aproximation nor a limitation while Equation (1) can be only applied in a strict way when the system is found in ideal or theta conditions in which the linear expansion coefficient is equal to unity. If this is not so it is necessary to suppose that $\alpha^{3} \rightarrow 1$. This approximation must not alterate in any case the values of λ_{∞} , but the value of the parameter A obtained from the intercept changes. As can be seen in Table 2, the A values obtai-

| | λ _ω ml | А | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| u ₂ | Eq.(1) | Eq.(2) | Eq.(1) | Eq.(2) | |
| 20.0 | 0.011 | 0.003 | -17.3 | -24.0 | |
| 30.0 | 0.085 | 0.089 | 10.9 | 11.0 | |
| 40.0 | 0.122 | 0.125 | 7.2 | 7.7 | |
| 50.0 | 0.079 | 0.095 | 35.1 | 37.4 | |

TABLE 2 Values of A and λ_{∞} obtained using Equations (1) and (2).

ned with the equation of Dondos-Benoit are always lo-

wer than the ones calculated with the Equation (2). It is due to the fact that the values of A are divided by the linear expansion coefficient α^3 . This is confirmed when plotting $\lambda M^{1/2}\alpha^3$ against $M^{1/2}\alpha^3$, because for every composition, the value of the parameter A obtained is coincident with the one calculated with Equation (2).



Figure 1. Plot of $(\lambda < s^2 > 3/2/M)$ as a function of $(\langle s^2 > 3/2/M \rangle$ for the system PMMA(3)/dioxane(1)/methanol(2).

REFERENCES

A. DONDOS and H. BENOIT, European Polymer J., <u>4</u>, 561 (1968)
A. DONDOS and H. BENOIT, Makromol. Chem., <u>133</u>, 119(1970)
A. DONDOS and H. BENOIT, J. Polymer Sci., <u>15</u>, 137(1977)
I. KATIME and C. RAMIRO VERA, An. R. Soc. esp. Fis.Quim. <u>68</u>, 9(1972)
I. KATIME, P. GARRO and J.M. TEIJON RIVERA, European Polymer J., <u>11</u>, 881(1975)
I. KATIME, J. TAMARIT and J.M. TEIJON RIVERA, An. R. Soc esp. Fis. Quim., <u>75</u>, 7(1979)
I. KATIME and B. AMO, European Polymer J. (in Press)
A. KRUISS, Z. phys. Chem., <u>B34</u>, 13(1936)
B.H. ZIMM, J. chem. Phys., <u>16</u>, 1099(1936)

Received February 27, 1980 Accepted March 4, 1980

388